arXiv:1202.1335v3 [math.NT] 4 Apr 2012

REPRESENTATIONS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS AS INFINITE PRODUCTS AND THEIR APPLICATION TO NUMERICAL COMPUTATIONS

MARCIN MAZUR AND BOGDAN V. PETRENKO

Abstract. Let D be an open disk of radius ≤ 1 in $\mathbb{C}$, and let (ϵ_n) be a sequence of ± 1. We prove that for every analytic function $f : D \to \mathbb{C}$ without zeros in D, there exists a unique sequence (α_n) of complex numbers such that $f(z) = f(0)\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+\epsilon_n z^n)^{\alpha_n}$ for every $z \in D$. From this representation we obtain a numerical method for calculating products of the form $\prod_{p \text{ prime}} f(1/p)$ provided $f(0) = 1$ and $f'(0) = 0$; our method generalizes a well known method of Pieter Moree. We illustrate this method on a constant of Ramanujan $\pi^{-1/2}\prod_{p \text{ prime}} \sqrt{p^2-p}\ln(p/(p-1))$. From the properties of the exponents α_n, we obtain a proof of the following congruences, which have been the subject of several recent publications motivated by some questions of Arnold: for every $n \times n$ integral matrix A, every prime number p, and every positive integer k we have $\mathrm{tr}A^{p^k} \equiv \mathrm{tr}A^{p^{k-1}} \pmod{p^k}$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 11Y60, 30E10, 30J99, 40A30, 40A20. Secondary 11A07, 11C20.

Keywords. Euler product, infinite products, approximating constants, congruences for traces.

1. Introduction

Many constants in number theory appear in the form $\prod_p f(1/p)$, where the product is taken over all (sufficiently large) prime numbers and f is a function analytic in a neighborhood of 0 and such that $f(0) = 1$ and $f'(0) = 0$. The results of this paper arose from our attempt to compute some constants of this type with high accuracy. From this perspective, our work should be considered as a generalization of the technique of Pieter Moree [12], who shows how to compute such products to high accuracy when f is a rational function satisfying certain additional properties.

To achieve our goal we prove the following result:

Theorem. *Let $f(z)$ be an analytic function without zeros in the disk $|z| < R \leq 1$ and let (ϵ_n) be a sequence of ± 1. There exists unique sequence (α_n) such that the product $f(0)\Pi_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+\epsilon_n z^n)^{\alpha_n}$ converges to f uniformly on compact subsets of the disk $|z| < R$.*

(see Theorem 2.9, where a simple way to compute the exponents α_n is stated). As an illustration we mention the following formulas (see Examples 2.12, 2.13):

$$e^{-z} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-z^n)^{\frac{\mu(n)}{n}}, \qquad e^{\frac{z}{z-1}} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-z^n)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{n}},$$

valid for $|z| < 1$, where μ, ϕ are the Möbius function and Euler function respectively. After this work was completed, Pieter Moree kindly pointed to us an interesting paper by G. Dahlquist [6], where a product decomposition as in Theorem above is obtained, and it is used to investigate analytic continuation of certain Euler products. As a matter of fact, the idea to use such product decompositions for various functions goes back to works of Möbius [13] and later Landau (see, for example, [10]). Dahlquist's discussion of his result is somewhat brief, therefore we provide a detailed proof including a careful analysis of the exponents α_n. We hope that our paper will make this result more widely known, which it fully deserves because of its many applications. In addition to being instrumental for the numerical method described in Section 4, it naturally leads to interesting arithmetic and combinatorial results by studying the relations between the exponents α_n and the Taylor coefficients of f and some related functions. In Section 3, we will show an example of such a result. Namely, we will obtain a short proof of the following theorem: if A is an integral matrix, p is a prime number, and n is a positive integer then the traces of A^{p^n} and $A^{p^{n-1}}$ are congruent modulo p^n. This result has been conjectured at the beginning of this century by V. I. Arnold, who considered it as an analog of the classical Euler theorem. Arnold's conjecture has been the subject of several recent publications (among others, see [2], [3], [17], [18], [19], [11]), where several different proofs can be found. Let us mention yet another application. The products as in Theorem above have been considered as formal identities in the theory of q-series, mainly in the case when the exponents α_n are integers (see [1]). Finally, the product decomposition has been used in a recent work [14], where the authors have proved that the regularized product of all prime numbers is equal to $4\pi^2$.

Returning to our original goal to get an accurate approximation of the product $\prod_p f(1/p)$, the strategy now is the same as the one developed in [12]. Namely, using the decomposition $f(z) = \Pi_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-z^n)^{\alpha_n}$ we get that

$$\prod_{p\geq t} f(1/p) = \prod_{p\geq t}\prod_{n=2}^{\infty}(1-p^{-n})^{\alpha_n} = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty}\prod_{p\geq t}(1-p^{-n})^{\alpha_n} = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty}\zeta(t,n)^{-\alpha_n}$$

where t is sufficiently large and $\zeta(t,s) = \prod_{p\geq t}(1-p^{-s})^{-1}$ is a partial zeta-function. The key observation behind our method is that the product on the right converges rapidly. As an illustration, in Examples 4.2 and 4.3 we compute first 50 decimal digits of two constants appearing in analytic number theory. To the best of our knowledge, this has not been done before. After writing a simple code, the computations using PARI/GP and an ordinary laptop take only several seconds.

Acknowledgments. We thank Maxim Korolev for providing us with information related to Example 4.3. B. Petrenko thanks Pieter Moree for very useful discussions of his work [12] during Petrenko's visits to MPIM in July-August of 2009 and in August 2010.

2. Product decomposition

For complex numbers α and z such that $|z|<1$ we write $(1+z)^{\alpha}$ for the binomial series $1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\binom{\alpha}{n}z^n$. Then $(1+z)^{\alpha} = e^{\alpha \mathrm{Log}(1+z)}$, where $\mathrm{Log}(1+z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-1}z^n/n$ is the principal branch of logarithm.

Recall that a sequence (f_n) of functions on a topological space X **converges compactly** to a function f on X if it converges uniformly to f on every compact subset of X. We will need the following well known consequence of the Residue Theorem:

Lemma 2.1. *Let (f_n) be a sequence of analytic functions in a domain U, none of which assumes the value 0 in U. Suppose that this sequence converges compactly on U to a function f. Then either $f=0$ or f does not assume the value 0 on U.*

Proof. The function f is analytic in U. Suppose that f is not identically 0. Let $z_0\in U$. Then there is $\epsilon>0$ such that $f(z)\neq 0$ for all $z\neq z_0$ in the disk $|z-z_0|\leq\epsilon$. It follows that f_n'/f_n converges uniformly to f'/f on the circle γ with center z_0 and radius ϵ. Thus $\int_\gamma f'(z)dz/f(z) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_\gamma f_n'(z)dz/f_n(z) = 0$, as $\int_\gamma f_n'(z)dz/f_n(z) = 0$ (since f_n'/f_n is analytic in U). It follows that $f(z_0)\neq 0$, as otherwise the function

f'/f would have a simple pole at z_0 and the integral $\int_\gamma f'(z)dz/f(z)$ would not vanish. □

Proposition 2.2. *Consider the product $\Pi_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+\epsilon_n z^n)^{\alpha_n}$, where $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$, $|z|<1$, and $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $0<R\leq 1$. The following conditions are equivalent.*

1. *The product converges compactly on the circle $|z|<R$.*
2. *The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_n \mathrm{Log}(1+\epsilon_n z^n)$ converges compactly on the circle $|z|<R$.*
3. *The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\epsilon_n n\alpha_n z^{n-1}/(1+\epsilon_n z^n)$ converges for $|z|<R$.*
4. *The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_n z^n$ converges for $|z|<R$.*

Moreover, if one of the above equivalent conditions holds, then the series in (2), (3), and (4) converge absolutely.

Proof. Assume (1). Then the product defines an analytic function $f(z)$ on $|z|<R$ which does not vanish at any point by Lemma 2.1 . Thus $h(z)=\log f(z)$ exists and is analytic on $|z|<R$ (we take here the logarithm satisfying $\log f(0)=0$). Let $h_n(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\alpha_k \mathrm{Log}(1+\epsilon_k z^k)$. Let $r<R$. Then $|f(z)|>B$ for some $B>0$ and all z such that $|z|\leq r$. For any $\epsilon>0$ there is n such that $|f(z)-e^{h_N(z)}|<B\epsilon$ for all $N\geq n$. It follows that $|1-e^{h_N(z)-h(z)}|<\epsilon$ for all z such that $|z|\leq r$. For $\epsilon<1/2$, this implies that $\mathrm{Log}(1-\epsilon)<\Re(h_N(z)-h(z))<\mathrm{Log}(1+\epsilon)$ and $\Im(h_N(z)-h(z))\in\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}(-\arccos(1-\epsilon)+2k\pi,\arccos(1-\epsilon)+2k\pi)$. Since $\Im(h_N(z)-h(z))$ is continuous on the connected set $|z|<R$ and it vanishes at 0, we must have $\Im(h_N(z)-h(z))\in(-\arccos(1-\epsilon),\arccos(1-\epsilon))$. This implies that $h_N(z)$ converges uniformly to $h(z)$ on $|z|\leq r$. Since r is an arbitrary positive number less than R, we see that (2) holds.

That (2) implies (1) is an immediate consequence of the equality $e^{h_n(z)}=\Pi_{k=1}^{n}(1+\epsilon_k z^k)^{\alpha_k}$.

The equivalence of (2) and (3) follows from the equality $h_n'(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\epsilon_k k\alpha_k z^{k-1}/(1+\epsilon_k z^k)$ and the observation that if the series in (3) converges on $|z|<R$ then it converges compactly.

Finally, the equivalence of (3) and (4) is a consequence of the inequalities $|k\alpha_k z^{k-1}|/2\leq|\epsilon_k k\alpha_k z^{k-1}/(1+\epsilon_k z^k)|\leq|k\alpha_k z^{k-1}|/(1-|z|)$ and the remark that if the series in (3) or (4) converges for $|z|<R$ then it converges absolutely and compactly.

The absolute convergence of the series in (3) and (4) is clear. The absolute convergence of the series in (2) follows from the absolute convergence of the series (4) and the inequality $|\mathrm{Log}(1+z)|\leq 2|z|$, which holds for all sufficiently small z (for example, $|z|\leq 1/2$ works). □

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that the product* $f(z) = \Pi_{n=k}^{\infty}(1+\epsilon_n z^n)^{\alpha_n}$ *converges compactly on* $|z| < R$ *for some* R *such that* $0 < R \leq 1$. *Then* $f^{(i)}(0) = 0$ *for* $1 \leq i < k$.

Proof. Let $h(z) = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \alpha_n \mathrm{Log}(1+\epsilon_n z^n)$. By Proposition 2.2, the series on the right converges compactly to h, and $f = e^h$. It is clear that $h^{(i)}(0) = 0$ for $1 \leq i < k$, as each summand has this property. The lemma follows now by differentiation of the equality $f = e^h$. □

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose that the product* $f(z) = \Pi_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+\epsilon_n z^n)^{\alpha_n}$, *where* $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$, *converges compactly on* $|z| < R$ *for some* $R > 0$. *Then* $f(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$, *where*

$$b_n = \sum \binom{\alpha_1}{k_1}\binom{\alpha_2}{k_2}\dots\binom{\alpha_n}{k_n}\epsilon_1^{k_1}\epsilon_2^{k_2}\dots\epsilon_n^{k_n} \tag{1}$$

and the summation extends over all non-negative integers $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n$ *such that* $k_1 + 2k_2 + \dots + nk_n = n$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, the coefficient b_n coincides with the coefficient at z^n in the Taylor expansion of $\Pi_{k=1}^{n}(1+\epsilon_k z^k)^{\alpha_k}$. The result follows now from the binomial series expansion and the Cauchy formula for multiplying power series. □

Corollary 2.5. *Suppose that the sequences* $b_1, b_2, \dots$ *and* $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$ *are related by* (1). *Then all the numbers* $b_1, b_2, \dots$ *are integers if and only if all the* α_i *are integers.*

Proof. Since $\binom{\alpha}{k}$ are integers for any integers α and k, the integrality of the α_i's implies the integrality of the b_i's. Note that in the formula (1) for b_n the only contribution of α_n is the monomial $\epsilon_n\alpha_n$. This observation and a straightforward induction on n show that the integrality of b_i's implies the integrality of α_i's. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let* (H_n) *be a sequence defined recursively by* $H_1 = 1$ *and* $H_n = \sum_{d|n, d<n} H_d$. *Then* $0 < H_n \leq n^2$ *for every* n. *In addition, if* (b_n) *is any sequence and the sequence* (a_n) *is defined by* $a_n = \sum_{d|n} b_d H_{n/d}$, *then* $b_n = a_n - \sum_{d|n, d<n} a_d$.

Proof. Define a sequence (J_n) by $J_1 = 1$ and $J_n = -1$ for $n > 1$. The definition of (H_n) is equivalent to the equality $(H_n) * (J_n) = (E_n)$, where $*$ denotes the Dirichlet convolution, $E_1 = 1$, and $E_n = 0$ for $n > 1$. This means that (H_n) and (J_n) are inverses of each other

under the operation $*$. Thus, if $(a_n) = (b_n) * (H_n)$, then $(b_n) = (a_n) * (J_n)$. This proves the second part of the lemma. For the first part, recall that $\sum_{d|n} d^s \leq n^s \prod_{p|n}(1-p^{-s})^{-1}$ for $s > 0$. It follows that $\sum_{d|n} d^s \leq n^s \zeta(s)$, where ζ is the Riemann zeta function. Let s be such that $\zeta(s) \leq 2$. Then we claim that $H_n \leq n^s$. Indeed, this is clear for $n = 1$. Assuming that it holds for indexes less than n, we have $H_n = \sum_{d|n, d<n} H_d \leq \sum_{d|n, d<n} d^s \leq \zeta(s) n^s - n^s \leq n^s$. Thus our claim follows by induction. Taking $s = 2$ yields the first part of the lemma, because $\zeta(2) = \pi^2/6 < 2$. □

Lemma 2.7. *Let $g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n z^{n-1}$ be analytic in the circle $|z| < R$ for some $0 < R \leq 1$. Let $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$. Then there exists a unique sequence (α_k) such that*

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n n \alpha_n z^{n-1}/(1+\epsilon_n z^n) \tag{2}$$

for all z such that $|z| < R$. Moreover,

$$-g_n = \sum_{d|n} d\alpha_d (-\epsilon_d)^{n/d-1}. \tag{3}$$

Proof. Note that

$$\frac{-\epsilon_n n \alpha_n z^n}{1+\epsilon_n z^n} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-\epsilon_n)^{k-1} n \alpha_n z^{nk}.$$

Suppose first that (2) holds. Then the right hand side of (2) converges compactly on $|z| < R$ and therefore

$$-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n z^n = -zg(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-\epsilon_n)^{k-1} n \alpha_n z^{nk} =$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d|n} d\alpha_d (-\epsilon_d)^{n/d-1} z^n.$$

Comparing the coefficients at z^n we get the formulas (3). A straightforward induction establishes that for any sequence (g_n) there is a unique sequence (α_n) such that (3) holds for all n. This shows the uniqueness. For the existence, it remains to show that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n n \alpha_n z^{n-1}/(1+\epsilon_n z^n)$ converges for the sequence (α_n) defined by (3) and all z such that $|z| < R$. By Proposition 2.2, it suffices to show that $\sum \alpha_n z^n$ converges for $|z| < R$. We claim that $|n\alpha_n| \leq \sum_{d|n} |g_d| H_{n/d}$, where (H_n) is the sequence from Lemma 2.6.

Indeed, for $n = 1$ this is clear. Assuming that it holds for indexes less than n, we see that

$$|n\alpha_n| \leq |g_n| + \sum_{d|n, d<n} d|\alpha_d| \leq |g_n| + \sum_{d|n, d<n} \sum_{e|d} |g_e| H_{d/e} = \sum_{d|n} |g_d| H_{n/d}$$

(the last equality holds by Lemma 2.6). Thus our claim follows by induction. Since $H_n \leq n^2$ by Lemma 2.6, we see that

$$|\alpha_n| \leq n \sum_{k=1}^{n} |g_k|. \tag{4}$$

Since $R \leq 1$ and $\sum_n g_n z^n$ converges for $|z| < R$, each of the following series also converges for $|z| < R$ by standard properties of power series: $\sum_n |g_n| z^n$, $\sum_n (\sum_{k=1}^n |g_k|) z^n$, $\sum_n n(\sum_{k=1}^n |g_k|) z^n$. By (4), the convergence of the last series implies the convergence of $\sum_n \alpha_n z^n$. □

Remark 2.8. The convergence of $\sum_n \alpha_n z^n$ can be obtained in a different way as follows. Let $(\hat{\alpha}_n)$ be the sequence defined by $-g_n = \sum_{d|n} d\hat{\alpha}_d$ (which is the sequence (α_n) obtained when $\epsilon_n = -1$ for all n). Then the inequality $|n\hat{\alpha}_n| \leq \sum_{d|n} |g_d|$ follows easily from Möbius inversion formula. This implies the convergence of $\sum_n \hat{\alpha}_n z^n$. Now note the following identity:

$$\frac{nz^{n-1}}{1 - z^n} = \frac{nz^{n-1}}{1 + \epsilon_n z^n} + \frac{1 + \epsilon_n}{2} \frac{2nz^{2n-1}}{1 - z^{2n}}.$$

Using this formula, we can rewrite the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} -n\hat{\alpha}_n z^{n-1}/(1 - z^n)$ term by term, starting with $n = 1$, into $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n n\alpha_n z^{n-1}/(1 + \epsilon_n z^n)$. It is not hard to see that for $n = 2^s m$, where m is odd, the α_n obtained in this way is of the form $\pm\hat{\alpha}_{m_1} \pm \ldots \pm \hat{\alpha}_{m_t}$, where $m_i = 2^{s_i} m$ and $s_1 < s_2 < \ldots < s_t \leq s$. This observation and the convergence of $\sum_n \hat{\alpha}_n z^n$ easily imply the convergence of $\sum_n \alpha_n z^n$.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $f(z)$ be an analytic function without zeros in the disk $|z| < R \leq 1$ and let (ϵ_n) be a sequence of ± 1. Then there exists a unique sequence (α_n) such that the product $f(0)\Pi_{n=1}^{\infty}(1 + \epsilon_n z^n)^{\alpha_n}$ converges compactly to f on $|z| < R$. Moreover, if $f(z) = f(0)(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n)$ and $f'(z)/f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n z^{n-1}$ then the following formulas hold:*

$$b_n = \sum \binom{\alpha_1}{k_1} \binom{\alpha_2}{k_2} \ldots \binom{\alpha_n}{k_n} \epsilon_1^{k_1} \epsilon_2^{k_2} \ldots \epsilon_n^{k_n}, \tag{5}$$

$$nb_n = g_n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k g_{n-k}, \tag{6}$$

$$-g_n = \sum_{d|n} d\alpha_d(-\epsilon_d)^{n/d-1}. \tag{7}$$

Proof. We may assume that $f(0) = 1$. The function $g(z) = f'(z)/f(z)$ is analytic in $|z| < R$. By Lemma 2.7, there exists a unique sequence (α_k) such that

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n n\alpha_n z^{n-1}/(1+\epsilon_n z^n) \tag{8}$$

for all z such that $|z| < R$. By Proposition 2.2, we get $\log f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \mathrm{Log}(1+\epsilon_n z^n)$ and $f(z) = \Pi_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+\epsilon_n z^n)^{\alpha_n}$.

Formula (5) has been obtained in Proposition 2.4. Formula (6) follows from the equality $f' = fg$, i.e. from

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nb_n z^{n-1} = \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n z^{n-1}.$$

Finally, (7) has been established in (3) of Lemma 2.7. □

Remark 2.10. The three natural choices for the sequence (ϵ_n) are $\epsilon_n = -1$ for all n, $\epsilon_n = 1$ for all n, and ϵ_n such that α_n has non-negative real parts for all n. That the third choice always exists follows easily from the rewriting procedure described in Remark 2.8. Unless some of the $\hat{\alpha}_n$'s are purely imaginary, such a sequence (ϵ_n) is unique.

Remark 2.11. Let (ϵ_n) be a sequence of ± 1. Starting with a function $f(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$, analytic and without zeros in $|z| < R$, we can compute the exponents α_n recursively in any one of the following ways:

(i) Using formulas (5).
(ii) By Proposition 2.4, the sequence (α_n) is obtained recursively by the following rule: $\epsilon_{n+1}\alpha_{n+1}$ is the coefficient at z^{n+1} in the Taylor expansion of $f(z)\Pi_{k=1}^{n}(1+\epsilon_k z^k)^{-\alpha_k}$.
(iii) Using (7) and (6).

It is intriguing that Corollary 2.5 does not seem to be easily derivable just from (7) and (6), even though it is a straightforward consequence of (5).

Example 2.12. We apply Theorem 2.9 to the exponential function $f(z) = e^z$ and $\epsilon_n = -1$ for all n. Since $f'/f = 1$, we see that $g_1 = 1$ and $g_n = 0$ for $n > 1$. By (7) and the Möbius inversion formula we easily get $\alpha_n = -\mu(n)/n$. Thus we have the following product expansion:

$$e^{-z} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1 - z^n)^{\frac{\mu(n)}{n}} \tag{9}$$

which converges for $|z| < 1$. Formula (9) is not new. It has been stated already in [13] (see formula (13) therein). Now it is well known that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n)/n = 0$ (this equality, conjectured by Euler and proved by von Mangoldt, is equivalent to the prime number theorem). Thus we may write

$$e^{-z} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1 - z^n}{1 - z}\right)^{\frac{\mu(n)}{n}}. \tag{10}$$

Taking $z = 1$ leads to the equality

$$e^{-1} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\frac{\mu(n)}{n}}$$

or, equivalently,

$$-1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)\ln n}{n}. \tag{11}$$

Of course, what we did above is just a heuristic argument, as (10) is valid only for $|z| < 1$. Nevertheless, (11) is correct and it has been stated by Möbius [13] (who used heuristic arguments similar to ours, see his formula (21)) and proved by E. Landau [9]. We hope that the above heuristic argument provides evidence that the product decomposition established in Theorem 2.9 may be a source of interesting results in number theory. Yet another application will be discussed in the next section.

Example 2.13. We apply Theorem 2.9 to the exponential function $f(z) = e^{\frac{z}{z-1}}$ and $\epsilon_n = -1$ for all n. Since $f'/f = -1/(1-z)^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-n)z^{n-1}$, we see that $g_n = -n$ for all n. By (7) and the Möbius inversion formula we easily get $\alpha_n = \phi(n)/n$. Thus we have the following product expansion:

$$e^{\frac{z}{z-1}} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1 - z^n)^{\frac{\phi(n)}{n}} \tag{12}$$

which converges for $|z| < 1$.

3. Arnold's Conjecture

The recursive formulas (6) are often called formulas of Newton. More precisely, substituting $q_n = -g_n$ we get

$$q_n + b_1 q_{n-1} + \ldots + b_{n-1} q_1 + n b_n = 0. \tag{13}$$

Newton observed in his *Arithmetica Universalis*, published in 1707, that when $-b_1, \ldots, (-1)^k b_k$ are the elementary symmetric functions of $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ (and $b_n = 0$ for $n > k$) and $q_n = x_1^n + \ldots + x_k^n$ then the relations (13) hold. Perhaps a bit less known are the following explicit formulas, which (in the case of symmetric polynomials) go back to Girard (1629) and Waring (1762):

$$q_n = n \sum (-1)^{k_1+k_2+\ldots+k_n} \frac{(k_1+k_2+\ldots+k_n-1)!}{k_1! k_2! \ldots k_n!} b_1^{k_1} b_2^{k_2} \ldots b_n^{k_n}, \tag{14}$$

$$b_n = \sum \frac{(-1)^{k_1+k_2+\ldots+k_n}}{k_1! k_2! \ldots k_n!} \left(\frac{q_1}{1}\right)^{k_1} \left(\frac{q_2}{2}\right)^{k_2} \ldots \left(\frac{q_n}{n}\right)^{k_n}. \tag{15}$$

where, in both formulas, the summation extends over all non-negative integers $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n$ such that $k_1 + 2k_2 + \ldots + nk_n = n$. See [7] for more about these formulas. As observed by Moree in [12] (and by many others before), Newton's formulas relating the symmetric functions and the power sums follow easily from (6). In fact, if $f(z) = 1 + b_1 z + \ldots + b_k z^k$ is a polynomial, then $f(z) = (1 - x_1 z)(1 - x_2 z) \ldots (1 - x_k z)$, where $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ are the roots of the reciprocal polynomial $z^k + b_1 z^{k-1} + \ldots + b_k$. It follows that

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{-x_j}{1 - x_j z} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} -(x_1^n + x_2^n + \ldots + x_k^n) z^{n-1}.$$

Thus $g_n = -(x_1^n + x_2^n + \ldots + x_k^n)$ and Newton's result follows from (6).

Now let us apply (7) with $\epsilon_n = -1$ for all n. By the Möbius inversion formula, we get

$$n\alpha_n = -\sum_{d|n} g_d \mu(n/d). \tag{16}$$

Assume now that $b_1, \ldots, b_k$ are integers. Then, by Corollary 2.5, all α_n are integers too. Therefore we get the following result:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ be the roots of a monic integral polynomial $q(x)$ of degree k and let $q_n = x_1^n + x_2^n + \ldots + x_k^n$. Then*

$$\sum_{d|n} q_d \mu(n/d) \equiv 0 \pmod{n} .$$

Applying Theorem 3.1 when $q(x)$ is the characteristic polynomial of an integral $k \times k$ matrix A and $n = p^m$ is a power of a prime p, we get the following result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let A be an integral $k \times k$ matrix and $n = p^m$ be a power of a prime p. Then $trA^{p^m} \equiv trA^{p^{m-1}} \pmod{p^m}$.*

Theorem 3.2 has been conjectured by Arnold ([2],[3]), and it has been the subject of several recent publications ([17], [18], [19], [11]), even though it can be found in papers going back to the 1920s ([8], [16]). In [11] we proved a more general result using a different method. However, the methods developed in the present paper lead naturally to a discovery of Arnold's conjecture and the resulting proof is short and aesthetically pleasing. We should mention that already the paper of Moree [12] contains a similar proof of (16), though the above arithmetic consequences of this equality have not been addressed there.

4. Numerical Method

In this section we describe the numerical method mentioned in the introduction. We denote by p_n the nth prime number. Let f be a function analytic and non-zero in the closed disk $|z| \leq R \leq 1$, $f(0) = 1$, $f'(0) = 0$. Let m be such that $Rp_m > 1$. Our goal is to approximate the product $\prod_{k=m}^{\infty} f(1/p_k)$ to high accuracy, as many constants in number theory appear in such a form. Our strategy here is very similar to the one developed by Moree [12] in the special case when f is a rational function satisfying some additional properties.

By Theorem 2.9, there is a product decomposition

$$f(z) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 - z^n)^{\alpha_n}.$$

Thus

$$\prod_{k=m}^{\infty} f(1/p_k) = \prod_{k=m}^{\infty} \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 - p_k^{-n})^{\alpha_n} = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \prod_{k=m}^{\infty} (1 - p_k^{-n})^{\alpha_n} = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \zeta_m(n)^{-\alpha_n}$$

where $\zeta_m(s) = \prod_{k=m}^{\infty} (1 - p_k^{-s})^{-1} = \zeta(s) \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} (1 - p_k^{-s})$ is a partial zeta-function (note that the change in the order of multiplication is allowed

as the product is absolutely convergent). The key observation behind our method is that the product $\prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \zeta_m(n)^{-\alpha_n}$ converges rapidly. More precisely, we have the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f(z) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty}(1-z^n)^{\alpha_n}$ compactly converge in the disk $|z| < R \leq 1$. Let B be an upper bound for $|f'(z)/f(z)|$ on $|z| = R$. Let m be such that $Rp_m > 1$ and let $M > m$ be such that $C(R,B,m,M) := \dfrac{(e-1)Bp_m}{Rp_m - 1}\dfrac{1}{(Rp_m)^M} \leq 1$. Then*

$$\left|\prod_{k=m}^{\infty} f(1/p_k) - \prod_{n=2}^{M} \zeta_m(n)^{-\alpha_n}\right| \leq C(R,B,m,M)\left|\prod_{n=2}^{M} \zeta_m(n)^{-\alpha_n}\right|. \tag{17}$$

Proof. Let $f'/f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n z^{n-1}$ and. By Cauchy's inequality, we have $|g_n| \leq B/R^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By formula (7) and the Möbius inversion formula, we have $n\alpha_n = -\sum_{d|n} g_d \mu(n/d)$. It follows that

$$|\alpha_n| \leq \frac{B}{R^n} \tag{18}$$

In addition,

$$\zeta_m(n) - 1 \leq \sum_{k=p_m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^n} \leq p_m^{1-n}.$$

for all $n \geq 3$. Using these estimates and the inequality $\ln(1+x) \leq x$ (for $x > 0$) we get

$$\left|\sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \ln \zeta_m(n)\right| \leq \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \frac{B}{R^n} \ln \zeta_m(n) \leq$$

$$\leq B \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \frac{p_m}{(Rp_m)^n} = \frac{Bp_m}{Rp_m - 1}\frac{1}{(Rp_m)^M}.$$

Note now that for $|z| \leq 1$ we have $|1 - e^z| \leq (e-1)|z|$. It follows that

$$\left|1 - \prod_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \zeta_m(n)^{-\alpha_n}\right| = \left|1 - \exp\left(\sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} -\alpha_n \ln \zeta_m(n)\right)\right| \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{(e-1)Bp_m}{Rp_m - 1}\frac{1}{(Rp_m)^M} = C(R,B,m,M)$$

provided M is such that $C(R,B,m,M) \leq 1$. Since $|\prod_{k=m}^{\infty} f(1/p_k)| = |\prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \zeta_m(n)^{-\alpha_n}|$, the theorem follows now by multiplying the last inequality by $|\prod_{n=2}^{M} \zeta_m(n)^{-\alpha_n}|$. □

The following two examples illustrate our method.

Example 4.2. We will compute the first fifty decimal digits of the following constant A_1 from the paper of Ramanujan [15]:

$$A_1 = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sqrt{\ln n}}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d(k)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{p_k^2 - p_k} \ln\left(\frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}\right),$$

where $d(k)$ is the number of divisors of k.

Let $f(z) = \dfrac{-\ln(1-z)}{z}\sqrt{1-z}$. Then $\sqrt{\pi}A_1 = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} f(1/p_k)$. The function f is holomorphic and non-zero in the unit disk. A straightforward computation yields

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{-1}{(1-z)\ln(1-z)} - \frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{2(1-z)}.$$

Using the inequality $|(1-z)\ln(1-z)| \geq |z| - |z|^2$ we get

$$\left|\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}\right| \leq \frac{1}{|z|-|z|^2} + \frac{1}{|z|} + \frac{1}{2(1-|z|)} = \frac{2}{|z|} + \frac{3}{2(1-|z|)}.$$

This gives an estimate $|f'/f| \leq 18$ for $|z| = 0.9$. Thus we may take $R = 0.9$, $B = 18$, and $m = 7$, so $p_m = 17$ and $Rp_m > 15$. Take $M = 48$. Then

$$C(R, B, m, M) \leq \frac{38}{15^{48}} \leq 10^{-54}.$$

Thus, by Theorem 4.1

$$\left|A_1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \prod_{k=1}^{6} f(1/p_k) \prod_{n=2}^{48} \zeta_7(n)^{-\alpha_n}\right| \leq 10^{-54} \left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \prod_{k=1}^{6} f(1/p_k) \prod_{n=2}^{48} \zeta_7(n)^{-\alpha_n}\right|.$$

Now we calculate the exponents α_n, $n = 2, 3, \ldots, 48$ and the product $\prod_{k=1}^{6} f(1/p_k) \prod_{n=2}^{48} \zeta_7(n)^{-\alpha_n}$ accurate to 54 decimal digits and get $A_1 = 0.546855955280474466845517100990761789910210485929 74\ldots$ (the computation has been done with 211 accurate digits using PARI/GP).

Example 4.3. Let $d(k)$ and $\sigma(k)$ denote the number and the sum of divisors of k, respectively. Then $\sigma(k)/d(k)$ is the average divisor of k. The average of the average divisor is then the quantity $\dfrac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma(k)/d(k)$. In [5, Thm. 4.1] it is proved that this quantity is asymptotically equal to $cn/\ln n$, where

$$c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_k^{3/2}}{\sqrt{p_k - 1}} \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{p_k}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} f(1/p_k),$$

where $f(z) = \dfrac{\ln(1+z)}{z\sqrt{1-z}}$. V. I. Arnold, in his recent book [4], attributes this asymptotic to A. A. Karatsuba (note however that the formula for $c_1 = c$ in the footnote on page 78 of [4] is incorrect: it has a factor of $1/\pi$ instead of $1/\sqrt{\pi}$). According to Arnold, M. Korolev computed $c \approx 0.7138067\ldots$. We will see that only the first 5 digits are accurate. The function f is holomorphic and non-zero in the unit disk and

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{1}{(1+z)\ln(1+z)} - \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{2(1-z)}.$$

The same estimates as in Example 4.2 allow us to take $R = 0.9$, $B = 18$, $m = 7$, and $M = 48$ and get

$$\left| c_1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \prod_{k=1}^{6} f(1/p_k) \prod_{n=2}^{48} \zeta_7(n)^{-\alpha_n} \right| \le 10^{-54} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \prod_{k=1}^{6} f(1/p_k) \prod_{n=2}^{48} \zeta_7(n)^{-\alpha_n} \right|.$$

Now we calculate the exponents α_n, $n = 2, 3, \ldots, 48$ and the product $\prod_{k=1}^{6} f(1/p_k) \prod_{n=2}^{48} \zeta_7(n)^{-\alpha_n}$ accurate to 54 digits and get $c = 0.713809930499914152244010604027992918272133365251 47\ldots$.

References

[1] G. E. Andrews, q-Series: Their Development and Application in Analysis, Number Theory, Combinatorics, Physics, and Computer Algebra, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, **66**, AMS, Providence, RI, 1986.

[2] V. I. Arnold, The Matrix Euler-Fermat Theorem, Izv. Math. **68** (2004), 1119-1128.

[3] V. I. Arnold, On the Matricial Version of Fermat-Euler Congruences, Japan. J. Math., **1** (2006), 1-24.

[4] V. I. Arnold, Dynamics, Statistics, and Projective Geometry of Galois Fields, Cambridge University Press 2011.

[5] P. T. Bateman, P. Erdös, C. Pomerance, and E. G. Straus, The arithmetic mean of the divisors of an integer, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **899** (1981), 197-220.

[6] G. Dahlquist, On the analytic continuation of Eulerian products, Arkiv Math., **1** (1952), 533-554.

[7] H. W. Gould, The Girard-Waring power sum formulas for symmetric functions and Fibonacci sequences, Fibonacci Quart., **37** (1999),135-140.

[8] W. Jänichen, Über die Verallgemeinerung einer Gaussschen Formel aus der Theorie der höhern Kongruenzen, Sitzungsber. Berlin. Math. Ges., **20** (1921), 23-29.

[9] E. Landau, Contribution a la théorie de la fonction $\zeta(s)$ de Riemann, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **129** (1899), 812-815; Collected Works, Vol. 1. Essen: Thales Verlag, 1985, pp. 8488.

[10] E. Landau, A. Walfisz, Über die Nichtfortsetzbarkeit einiger durch Dirichletsche Reihen definierter Funktionen, Rend. di Palermo, **44** (1919), 82-86.

[11] M. Mazur, and B. V. Petrenko, Generalizations of Arnold's version of Euler's theorem for matrices, Japan. J. Math., **5** (2010), 183-189.

[12] P. Moree, Approximation of singular series and automata, Manuscripta Math., **101** (2000), 385-399.
[13] A.F. Möbius, Über eine besondere Art von Umkehrung Reihen, Journal für die reine und angeuwandte Mathematik, **9** (1832), 105-123.
[14] E. Muñoz García and R. Pérez Marco, The Product Over All Primes is $4\pi^2$ Commun. Math. Phys. **277** (2008), 69-81.
[15] S. Ramanujan, Some formulae in the analytic theory of numbers. Messenger of Math. **45** (1916), 81-84.
[16] I. Schur, Arithmetische Eigenscheften der Potenzsummen einer algebraischen Gleichung, Compos. Math., **4** (1937), 432-444.
[17] E. B. Vinberg, On some number-theoretic conjectures of V. Arnold, Japan. J. Math., **2** (2007), 297-302.
[18] A. V. Zarelua, On Matrix Analogs of Fermat's Little Theorem, Math. Notes, **79** (2006), 838-853.
[19] A. V. Zarelua, On Congruences for Traces of Powers of Some Matrices, Proc. Stek. Inst. Math., **263** (2008), 78-98.

Department of Mathematics, Binghamton University, P.O. Box 6000, Binghamton, NY 13892-6000, USA

E-mail address: mazur@math.binghamton.edu

Department of Mathematics, SUNY Brockport, 350 New Campus Drive, Brockport, NY 14420, USA

E-mail address: bpetrenk@brockport.edu